## MODEL THEORY II HOMEWORK 3

**6** (Rothmaler 12.1.7). Suppose  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\kappa$ -saturated,  $A \subset M$  with  $|A| < \kappa$  and n > 1 is a natural number. Prove that all n-types of  $\mathcal{M}$  over A are realized in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $|A| < \kappa$  and all (n-1)-types of  $\mathcal{M}$  over A are realized in  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $p \in S_n(A)$  then

$$p = \left\{ \varphi(\overline{v}) \middle| |\overline{v}| = n \text{ and } \mathcal{M} \models \varphi(\overline{v}) \right\}.$$

Let  $\overline{v} = \{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$  and define

$$q = \left\{ \exists v_n \varphi(v_n) \middle| \varphi \in p \right\}.$$

Note that q is a consistent set of  $L_{n-1}$  formulas. If  $\varphi \in L_{n-1}$ ,  $\neg \varphi \notin q$  and  $\varphi \notin q$  then neither  $\exists v_n \varphi(v_n)$  nor  $\exists v_n \neg \varphi(v_n)$  (which is logically equivalent to  $\neg \exists v_n \varphi(v_n) \in q$ ) are in p. This contradicts the completeness of p. Therefore  $q \in S_{n-1}(A)$  and is realized in  $\mathcal{M}$  by, say,  $m_q \in M^{n-1}$  according to the induction hypothesis. If  $m_q$  realizes p then the proof is finished. If  $m \in M^n$  is not a realization of p then there is a formula  $\varphi(\overline{v}) \in p$  such that  $\mathcal{M} \models \neg \varphi(m)$ . Then define

$$p' = \left\{ \varphi(m, v) \in L_1 \middle| \varphi(\overline{v}) \in p \text{ and } |\overline{v}| = n \right\}.$$

If  $\varphi \in L_1, \varphi(v_n) \notin p'$  and  $\neg \varphi(v_n) \notin p'$  then  $\varphi(m, v_n) \notin p$  and  $\neg \varphi(m, v_n) \notin p$  which contradicts the completeness of p. Therefore p' is complete, hence  $p' \in S_1(A)$  is realized by  $m_{p'} \in \mathcal{M}$  by the saturation of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Thus  $m = m_q \cup m_{p'}$  is a realization of p, and the result follows.  $\Box$ 

**7.** If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a homogeneous structure then every partial elementary map  $f : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ where  $|\text{Dom}(f)| < |\mathcal{M}|$  can be extended to an  $F \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ .

*Proof.* Let A = Dom(f) and  $B = f[\mathcal{M}]$ . Let  $|A| = \kappa < |\mathcal{M}|$ . Then well-order A and B as  $A = \{a_i\}_{i < \kappa}$  and  $B = \{b_i = f(a_i)\}_{i < \kappa}$ , respectively. This gives rise to an elementary chain  $\{\mathcal{M}_i\}_{i < \kappa}$  such that

$$\mathcal{M}(A) = \mathcal{M}_0 \prec \mathcal{M}_1 \prec \cdots \prec \mathcal{M}_i \prec \cdots$$

By homogeneity f can be extended by picking  $a_{\kappa+1} \in M \setminus A$  and finding  $b_{\kappa+1} \in M$ such that tp(a/A) = tp(b/B).

Therefore by the elementary chain lemma  $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa^+} = \bigcup_{i < \kappa^+} \mathcal{M}_i \prec \mathcal{M}$ . This argument works for every  $\kappa < \mathcal{M}$ , and therefore if  $\kappa$  is such that  $\kappa^+ = |\mathcal{M}|$  we have that  $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa^+} = \mathcal{M}$ . Otherwise, one can form another elementary chain indexed by all  $\kappa < \mathcal{M}$ , and the union of these will be  $\mathcal{M}$ . Further, this model is defined as the image of F, the extension of f. Hence F is a (total) elementary map such that F(A) = f(A). Therefore  $F \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$  is an automorphism of  $\mathcal{M}$  extending f.  $\Box$